

Public Key Cryptography

Public Key Cryptography

- Symmetric Key:
	- Same key used for encryption and decrypiton
	- Same key used for message integrity and validation
- Public-Key Cryptography
	- Use one key to encrypt or sign messages
	- Use another key to decrypt or validate messages
- **Keys**
	- Public key known to the world and used to send you a message
	- Only your private key can decrypt the message

Public Key Cryptography

- **Motivations**
	- In symmetric key cryptography, a key was needed between every pair of users wishing to securely communicate
		- O(n^2) keys
	- Problem of establishing a key with remote person with whom you wish to communicate
- Advantages to Public Key Cryptography
	- Key distribution much easier: everyone can known your public key as long as your private key remains secret
	- Fewer keys needed
		- *O*(*n*) keys
- **Disadvantages**
	- Slow, often up to 1000x slower than symmetric-key cryptography

Cryptography and Complexity

- Three classes of complexity:
	- $-$ P: solvable in polynomial time, $O(n^c)$
	- NP: nondeterministic solutions in polynomial time, deterministic solutions in exponential time
	- $-$ EXP: exponential solutions, $O(c^n)$
- Cryptographic problems should be:
	- Encryption should be P
	- Decryption should be P with key
	- Decryption should be NP for attacker
- Need problems where complexity of solution depends on knowledge of a key

increasing

difficult

P

NP

EXP

Modular Arithmetic Review

- Integers modulo prime *p* form an algebraic ring
- Example:
	- $-Z \pmod{7} = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$
	- $-$ Addition: $4 + 5 = 9 = 2$ (mod 7)
	- Multiplication: $4 * 5 = 20 = 6 \pmod{7}$
	- Additive Identity: $4 + 0 = 4$ (mod 7)
	- Multiplicative Identity: $4 * 1 = 4$ (mod 7)
	- $-$ Inverse: 4 $*$ 2 = 8 = 1 (mod 7)
		- $4^{-1} = 2 \pmod{7}$
		- $2^{-1} = 4 \pmod{7}$
		- Can use Euclidean Algorithm to find inverses (mod p) in polynomial time

- Finding subset of items that completely fill a knapsack
- Cast mathematically, find a binary selection vector v_i such that: $v_i a_i = T$

i

- Vector a_i represents the size of the items and, and T is the total size of the knapsack vector v_i such that: $\sum_i v_i a_i =$

vector a_i represents the size

and T is the total size of the

Example:
 $-a = \{5, 8, 2, 9, 11, 4\}$
 $-T = 14$
 $-Solution: v = \{0, 1, 1, 0, 0, 1\}$
- Example:

$$
-a = \{5, 8, 2, 9, 11, 4\}
$$

- $T = 14$
-

- Finding vector v for an arbitrary knapsack is an NP problem
	- $-$ Deterministic exponential solution: try every vector 2^n
	- More efficient: recursive algorithm on sorted knapsack
- Superincreasing knapsack:
	- Special case where

$$
a_n > \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} a_i
$$

- Polynomial-time solution exists pecial case where $a_n > \sum_{i=1}$
olynomial-time solution exists
 m ple:
= {1, 3, 6, 13, 25, 51}
= 32
olution
• Can't have 51
• Must have 13,
• Must have 6, result is 1, etc
- Example:
	- $a = \{1, 3, 6, 13, 25, 51\}$
	- $T = 32$
	- Solution
		- Can't have 51
		- Must have 25, result is 7
		- Can't have 13,
		-

- Use knapsack problem for cryptography
	- Plaintext is vector v
	- Ciphertext is target T
	- Key is vector a
- Need two equivalent knapsacks
	- Regular knapsack for encryption, k_e (public key)
	- Superincreasing knapsack for decryption k_d (private key)
	- Need a way to convert a superincreasing knapsack to a regular knapsack
		- Technique: use modular arithmetic
		- $k_e = c k_d \pmod{n}$

- Example:
	- $-k_{d} = \{1, 3, 6, 13, 25, 53\}$
	- k_e = 51 k_d (mod 107) = {51, 46, 92, 21, 98, 28}
	- $-$ Message M = {0, 1, 1, 0, 1, 1}
	- $-$ Ciphertext T = 264
	- Decrypt using k_d
		- Need to "undo" multiplication by 51 (mod 107), use Euclidean algorithm to determine that 51 $*$ 21 (mod 107) = 1, so 21 = 51 $⁻¹$ </sup>
		- Compute new ciphertext $T' = 264 * 21 \pmod{107} = 87$
		- Must have 53, result is 34
		- Must have 25, result is 9
		- Cannot have 13
		- Must have 6, 3, result is $\{0, 1, 1, 0, 1, 1\}$

- Proposed in 1978 as a public-key encryption scheme
- Analysis in 1983 showed flaws
	- Heuristic techniques for determining multiplier and modulus
	- Results in a polynomial-time algorithm to derive k_d from $k_{\rm e}$
	- Flaw means that cryptosystems based on transforming a superincreasing knapsack are insecure

- Rivest-Shamir-Adleman
- Also introduced in 1978
- Based on the difficulty of factoring a large composite number into two large primes
	- Believed to be an exponential-time problem
	- Polynomial-time algorithms exist for Quantum computers
- Relies on generalization of Fermat's theorem:

 $x^{\varphi(n)} = 1 \pmod{n}$

- $\varphi(n)$ is the number of numbers less than n, coprime with n $x^{\varphi(n)} = 1 \pmod{n}$
 $\varphi(n)$ is the number of numbers less than n, coprime $n-1$ Euler's Totient Function
 $-$ For n = p, $\varphi(n) = n-1$, for any prime p
 $-$ For n = pq, $\varphi(n) = \varphi(p) \varphi(q) = (p-1)(q-1)$, for any primes p, q
	- Euler's Totient Function
	- For $n = p$, $\varphi(n) = n-1$, for any prime p
	-

- Uses modular arithmetic, for plaintext P, ciphertext C $C = P^e \pmod{n}$ *P* = $C^d \pmod{n}$
- Need values *d*, *e*, *n* to make it work
- Using Fermat's Theorem:
	- Let *n* = *pq* for primes *p*, *q,* test for prime is polynomial
	- $-$ Let $d = e^{-1}$ (mod $\varphi(n)$), Euclidean algorithm is polynomial
- Then: $P = C^d \pmod{n}$

 $= P¹ \pmod{n}$ $= P^{ed} \pmod{n}$ $=(P^e)^d \pmod{n}$

- Direct Attack
	- Attacker needs to be able to compute "Discrete Logarithm"
	- $-$ That is, $C = P^e \pmod{n}$
		- If C, e, n known, compute P
		- $log_P(C) = e \pmod{n}$
		- Solving in R is easy, but in Z (mod *n*) is EXP
- Rather than attack directly, try to find private key
	- $-$ Adversary needs to know $\varphi(n)$ to compute *d* from *e*
	- To know j(*n*), attacker must know *p*, *q* used to compute *n*
	- Attack requires factorization

Security of RSA

- Used in nearly every secure transaction over the Internet
- Originally *n* was 512 bits (RSA-512)
	- Now crackable in under a year on a standard desktop computer
	- Roughly equivalent to DES
- Most current Internet sites use RSA-1024
	- Infeasible to crack given current processing power
- Most new standards and systems recommend RSA-2048
	- RSA-2048 keys are as difficult to crack as AES-128

El Gamal Encryption

- RSA can be cracked either by:
	- Solving Discrete Logarithm (DL) problem
	- Factoring public key
- Factoring is easier
- Need a cryptosystem that doesn't involve factoring, and based solely on DL problem
- Result would be more secure
	- Shorter key length for the same level of security
- Invented by El Gamal in 1984

El Gamal Encryption

- Use multiplicative group of integers (mod p)
	- Any algebraic group will work
- Key generation
	- Select prime *p*, integers *a*, *x* < *p* / private key {x}
	- $-$ Compute $r = a^x \pmod{p}$ / public key $\{p, a, r\}$
- **Encryption**
	- Select random integer *y* < *p*
	- $-$ Compute $c_1 = a^y$, $c_2 = M r^y /$ ciphertext $\{c_1, c_2\}$
- **Decryption**
	- $-$ Compute plaintext = c_1 ^{-x} c_2

$$
- c_1^{-x} c_2 = (a^y)^{-x} M r^y = M a^{-xy} (a^x)^y = M a^{xy} a^{-xy} = M \pmod{p}
$$

El Gamal Encryption

- Basic security provided by the discrete logarithm problem
- Other attacks: security actually limited
	- Computational Diffie-Hellman problem
	- Decisional Diffie-Hellman problem
	- Will discuss these in detail next week
- System is malleable
	- Example: adversary can change c_2 '=2 c_2
	- Adversary decrypts c_1 ^{-x} c_2 ['] = 2M
	- Deterministic change to ciphertext yields deterministic change in plaintext
	- Still need integrity protection

Elliptic Curve Cryptography

- Elliptic curves can be used to create an algebraic group
- Combined with El Gamal Encryption to perform Elliptic Curve Cryptography
- Basic idea:
	- Points on a curve are group elements
	- Can be "added together" by:
		- Find third point colinear with first two
		- Reflect across axis
	- Efficient algorithm exists for computation
	- Exponentiation: Compute *c* * A, where *c* is an integer constant, as *c* * A = A+A+A+…+A (*c* times)
	- Forms an algebraic group with difficult discrete logarithm problem

Elliptic Curve Cryptography

- Advantages
	- Security bounded by DL problem rather than factoring problem
	- Can use significantly shorter key sizes
	- ECC-160 roughly equivalent to RSA-1024
		- MUCH shorter key sizes, better for storage, transmission
	- Still secure even if someone finds polynomial time for factoring integers
	- As RSA keys get longer, equivalently-secure ECC is more efficient in both hardware and software
- **Disadvantages**
	- Less institutionalized, most certificates don't support it
- Future of ECC
	- Patents by Certicom discourage use, expiring soon
	- USG pushing for use within USG systems

Digital Signatures

- Before, MIC provided message integrity
- Need a public-key equivalent
- Basic approach:
	- Most public-key systems have interchangable keys
		- RSA: could use either *d* or *e* to encrypt or decrypt, one undoes the other
	- Compute a hash of the message, and "encrypt" it with the private key
	- Recipient "decrypts" with the public key, verifies the hash

Digital Signatures

• Overall Architecture:

RSA Digital Signatures

- As mentioned before: simply "encrypt" with the private key
	- M = Message, S = Signature
	- $-$ To sign: $S = Hash(M)^d \pmod{n}$
	- $-$ To verify, see if $Hash(M) = S^e \pmod{n}$
- Relies on security of hash function
	- If a collision can be found, an attacker can change M to M' such that Hash(M)=Hash(M')
	- Same signature S would be valid for both M and M'

Digital Signature Algorithm (DSA)

- DSA is NIST standard for digital signatures
- Based on El Gamal signature scheme
	- Similar to El Gamal Encryption
	- Relies on DL problem rather than factorization
- Key Generation:
	- Select prime *p*, integers *a*, *x* < *p* / private key = {x}
	- Compute $y = a^x \pmod{p}$ / public key = $\{p, a, y\}$
- Signature:
	- Select random integer *k* < *p*-1
	- $-$ Compute $r = a^k \pmod{p}$
	- $-$ Compute $s = k^1$ (Hash(*M*) *xr*) (mod *p*-1)
	- Signature: {*r*, *s*}
- Verify:
	- $-$ Compute $v = y^r r^s \pmod{p}$
	- Determine if *v* = *a* Hash(*M*) (mod *p*)

$$
y^{r} r^{s} = (a^{x})^{r} r^{(k^{-1}(Hash(M)-xr))} \pmod{p}
$$

\n
$$
= a^{xr} (a^{k})^{(k^{-1}(Hash(M)-xr))} \pmod{p}
$$

\n
$$
= a^{(xr+kk^{-1}(Hash(M)-xr))} \pmod{p}
$$

\n
$$
= a^{xr+Hash(M)-xr} \pmod{p}
$$

\n
$$
= a^{Hash(M)} \pmod{p}
$$

Digital Signature Algorithm

- DSA can also be used with Ellipitic Curve Group rather than multiplicative integers
	- Called ECDSA
	- Again requires shorter key for equivalent security – Based on El Gamal Signatures
- Most digital signature systems use DSA rather than RSA signatures
- Very few use ECDSA

Quantum Cryptography

- Drastically different than mathematical cryptography explored so far
- Encodes data as photons of light
- Photons can spin in different orientations: \Rightarrow $\land \Diamond$
- Polarized filters can detect photons
	- $-$ + filter: detects \Rightarrow \hat{u} correctly, $\&$ $\&$ randomly
	- $-$ X filter: detects $\sqrt[5]{\alpha}$ correctly, \Rightarrow $\hat{\alpha}$ randomly
- Sender assigns 0 to \Rightarrow or $\frac{1}{2}$, 1 to \hat{v} or $\frac{1}{2}$
- Sender's message {0, 1, 1, 0, 1} to { $\%$, $\hat{\mathrm{u}}$, \oslash , $\hat{\mathrm{u}}$ } $\hat{\mathrm{u}}$
- Receiver uses random filters to detect $\{+, +, X, X, X\}$
- Receiver detects {1, 1, 1, 0, 1} (first, last filters incorrect)
- Receiver sends filter list to sender, sender indicates which were correct
- Receiver now correctly knows $\{?, 1, 1, 0, ?\}$
- Use error-correcting code to communicate over channel

Quantum Cryptography

- Security is based on the Heisenberg Uncertainty Principle
	- If you measure the rotation of a photon, you randomly change the rotation
	- Sender/Receiver could detect statistically abnormal error rate in the channel
- Implementation issues
	- Currently difficult to send exactly one photon of light
	- Approaches use a laser and attenuate the output such that statistically the expected number of photons is 1 per bit
- Applications
	- Doesn't rely on DL or factorization, therefore immune to Quantum Cryptanalysis; may be one of the only viable cryptosystems
	- Currently geared toward satellite communications

Public-Key Cryptosystems (PKCS)

- PKCS encapsulations
	- RSA has defined proprietary encapsulations of data into encrypted, signed blobs
	- PKCS #1, #2, etc, defined different encodings
	- Some offer encryption, others signatures, or both
- Transaction Layer Security (TLS)
	- Fundamental basis of secure communications over the Internet
	- Uses RSA, etc, for key agreement (discuss in detail next week)
- Email standards
	- CMS (Cryptographic Message Syntax) used for SMIME, use RSA/DSS
	- PGP and GPG are commonly used for email encryption, use El Gamal

Public Key Infrastructure

- ANSI X.509 standards
	- Define how to format public keys for exchange over networks
	- Major use: definition of certificate format
- Certificates are public keys signed by an external authority
	- e.g. Verisign
	- Trusted third party, called Certificate Authority (CA)
- Prevents MITM attacks
	- Someone sends you a public key to communicate with them securely
	- How do you know it's really the public key of the person you want to communicate with?
	- Have a trusted third party sign the key as actually being owned by someone
	- Anyone can create a CA, but popular software applications only list major companies, others have to be added manually

