G. E. FORSYTHE, J. G. HERRIOT, Editors

ALGORITHM 245 TREESORT 3 [M1]

ROBERT W. FLOYD (Recd. 22 June 1964 and 17 Aug. 1964) Computer Associates, Inc., Wakefield, Mass.

procedure TREESORT 3 (M, n); value n; array M; integer n; comment TREESORT 3 is a major revision of TREESORT [R. W. Floyd, Alg. 113, Comm. ACM 5 (Aug. 1962), 434] suggested by HEAPSORT [J. W. J. Williams, Alg. 232, Comm. ACM 7 (June 1964), 347] from which it differs in being an in-place sort. It is shorter and probably faster, requiring fewer comparisons and only one division. It sorts the array M[1:n], requiring no more than $2 \times (2 \uparrow p-2) \times (p-1)$, or approximately $2 \times n \times (\log_2(n)-1)$ comparisons and half as many exchanges in the worst case to sort $n=2 \uparrow p-1$ items. The algorithm is most easily followed if M is thought of as a tree, with $M[j\div 2]$ the father of M[j] for $1 < j \le n$;

```
begin
  procedure exchange (x,y); real x,y;
    begin real t; t := x; x := y; y := t
    end exchange;
  procedure siftup(i,n); value i, n; integer i, n;
  comment M[i] is moved upward in the subtree of M[1:n] of
    which it is the root;
  begin real copy; integer j;
    copy := M[i];
  loop: j := 2 \times i;
    if j \leq n then
    begin if j < n then
        begin if M[j+1] > M[j] then j := j+1 end;
      if M[j] > copy then
        begin M[i] := M[j]; i := j; go to loop end
    end;
    M[i] := copy
  end siftup;
  integer i;
  for i := n \div 2 step -1 until 2 do siftup (i,n);
  for i := n \text{ step } -1 \text{ until } 2 \text{ do}
  begin siftup (1,i);
    comment M[j \div 2] \ge M[j] for 1 < j \le i;
    exchange (M[1], M[i]);
    comment M[i:n] is fully sorted;
end TREESORT 3
```

ALGORITHM 246 GRAYCODE [Z]

J. BOOTHROYD* (Recd. 18 Nov. 1963)

English Electric-Leo Computers, Kidsgrove, Stoke-on-Trent, England

* Now at University of Tasmania, Hobart, Tasmania, Aust.

```
procedure graycode (a) dimension: (n) parity: (s); value n,s;
Boolean array a; integer n; Boolean s;
comment elements of the Boolean array a[1:n] may together be
```

considered as representing a logical vector value in the Gray cyclic binary-code. [See e.g. Phister, M., Jr., Logical Design of Digital Computers, Wiley, New York, 1958. pp. 232, 399.] This procedure changes one element of the array to form the next code value in ascending sequence if the parity parameter $s = \mathbf{true}$ or in descending sequence if $s = \mathbf{false}$. The procedure may also be applied to the classic "rings-o-seven" puzzle [see K. E. Iverson, A Programming Language, p. 63, Ex. 1.5];

```
begin integer i,j;\ j:=n+1; for i:=n step -1 until 1 do if a[i] then begin s:=\neg s; j:=i end; if s then a[1]:=\neg a[1] else if j< n then a[j+1]:=\neg a[j+1] else a[n]:=\neg a[n] end graycode
```

ALGORITHM 247

RADICAL-INVERSE QUASI-RANDOM POINT SEQUENCE [G5]

J. H. Halton and G. B. Smith (Recd. 24 Jan. 1964 and 21 July 1964)

Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton, N. Y., and University of Colorado, Boulder, Colo.

procedure QRPSH (K, N, P, Q, R, E);

integer K, N; real array P, Q; integer array R; real E; comment This procedure computes a sequence of N quasirandom points lying in the K-dimensional unit hypercube given by $0 < x_i < 1$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, K$. The ith component of the mth point is stored in Q(m,i]. The sequence is initiated by a "zero-th point" stored in P, and each component sequence is iteratively generated with parameter R[i]. E is a positive errorparameter, K, N, E, and the P[i] and R[i] for $i = 1, 2, \dots, K$, are to be given.

The sequence is discussed by J. H. Halton in Num. Math. 2 (1960), 84-90. If any integer n is written in radix-R notation as

$$n = n_m \cdots n_2 n_1 n_0$$
. $0 = n_0 + n_1 R + n_2 R^2 + \cdots + n_m R^m$,

and reflected in the radical point, we obtain the R-inverse function of n, lying between 0 and 1,

$$\phi_R(n) = 0$$
 . $n_0 n_1 n_2 \cdots n_m = n_0 R^{-1} + n_1 R^{-2} + n_2 R^{-3} + \cdots + n_m R^{-m-1}$.

The problem solved by this algorithm is that of giving a compact procedure for the addition of R^{-1} , in any radix R, to a fraction, with downward "carry".

If $P[i] = \phi_{R[i]}(s)$, as will almost always be the case in practice, with s a known integer, then $Q[m,i] = \phi_{R[i]}(s+m)$. For quasirandomness (uniform limiting density), the integers R[i] must be mutually prime.

For exact numbers, E would be infinitesimal positive. In practice, round-off errors would then cause the "carry" to be incorrectly placed, in two circumstances. Suppose that the stored number representing $\phi_R(n)$ is actually $\phi_R(n) + \Delta$. (a) If $|\Delta| \ge R^{-m-1}$, we see that the results of the algorithm become un-